



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/161,073	09/25/1998	PI-WEI CHIN	SA9-98-050	7136

25696 7590 02/25/2002

OPPENHEIMER WOLFF & DONNELLY  
P. O. BOX 10356  
PALO ALTO, CA 94303

EXAMINER

BASHORE, WILLIAM L

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2176

DATE MAILED: 02/25/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

AG

# Interview Summary

Application No.  
09/161,073

Applicant(s)  
Chin et al.

Examiner  
William L. Bashore

Group Art Unit  
2176



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) William L. Bashore (USPTO) (703) 308-5807

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Francois Devilliers (650) 320-4128

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Feb 22, 2002

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: 3

Identification of prior art discussed:

Motoyama, Levy

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Discussed differences between claimed limitations and the cited art of record. Suggested expanding a predefined passage to include cultural/regional differences between languages (if supported by Disclosure). Examiner will consider a predefined paragraph of text.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

William L. Bashore

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.